	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	
	SHINDO ET AL.			
	Exa	niner	Art Unit	
	Kalla	ambella Vijayakumar	1751	
All Participants:	Sta	tus of Application:		
(1) <u>Kallambella Vijayakumar</u> .	(3)		
(2) Arthur Crawford.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 5 January 2006	Tir	ne: <u>15:30 hrs</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's re No	presentative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a				
Claims discussed: all				
Prior art documents discussed: n/a				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH To condition the application for allowance by overcome 'more than 50 wt%' and reinserting the limitation 'main	ing the new-matte	er situation in the amended	claims by deleting the phrase	
Part III.			•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sdirectly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sdid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The exan	niner will provide a written of the substance of the	en summary of the substand interview, since the interview	се
mark				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applic	ant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	